Encroachment in Government land in Delhi

†605. SHRI KRIPAL PARMAR: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that it has become a common practice in the country particularly in Delhi that people encroach Government land then pressurize Government to provide alternative plot for vacating the said encroachment;

(b) if so, whether it is also a fact that according to Delhi High Court verdict, it is not binding on Government to provide an alternative plot to the people to vacate the Public land; and

(c) if so, the steps taken by Government after the orders passed by the High Court?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI PON. RADHAKRISHNAN): (a) It is true that a large number of people come to Delhi in search of employment and there are instances of encroachment upon public land. Alternative allotments were given only to the eligible jhugi dwellers as per the Government policy.

(b) and (c) Yes, Sir. The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has set aside the Government policy to pro*vide* alternative plots *vide* its orders dated 29.1.2002 passed in CWP Nos. 4441/1994 and 2112/2002 titled as Okhla Factory Owners' Association & others *Vs.* Government of NCT of Delhi and Wazirpur Bartan Nirmata Sangh *Vs.* Union of India & Others. The Union Government has filed an Special Leave Petition in the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India against the orders of Delhi High Court.

States on defaulting list of HUDCO

606. SHRI R.S. GAVAI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Maharashtra and other twenty one States are on defaulting list of HUDCO;

(b) if so, the details of amount due, State-wise;

---

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(c) whether assessment of non-realisation of loan from States on the operations of HUDCO has been done;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) the efforts made for the fast recovery of loan from States?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION (SHRI PON. RADHAKRISHNAN): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. State-wise details of defaulting states are given in the Statement (*See* below)

(c) to (e) Yes, Sir. HUDCO regularly reviews the default status with the concerned State Governments as also with the defaulting agencies. HUDCO has constituted special Core groups to recover the default amount by having detailed interaction with the agencies and concerned State Governments. Concerted efforts towards default resolution have resulted in reduction of default from Rs. 1890 crore as on 31.3.2002 to Rs. 1545 crore as on 05.2.2003.

Statement

State-wise default position in respect of Government Agencies

As on 05.2.2003

S.No.	State/Union Territory	Amount in Default (Rs. in lakh)
1	2	3
1.	Andhra Pradesh	10416
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	56
3.	Assam	16547
4.	Bihar	3995
5.	Chhattisgarh	827
6.	Goa	7
7.	Gujarat	9580
8.	Haryana	70
9.	Himachal Pradesh	372

1	2	3
10.	Jammu & Kashmir	1982
11.	Jharkhand	10
12.	Karnataka	12105
13.	Kerala	34733
14.	Madhya Pradesh	5742
15.	Maharashtra	15566
16.	Manipur	12550
17.	Meghalaya	1665
18.	Mizoram	1687
19.	Nagaland	5
20.	Orissa	8728
21.	Punjab	2352
22.	Rajasthan	1657
23.	Tamil Nadu	4152
24.	Tripura	113
25.	Uttar Pradesh	6144
26.	West Bengal	3478
	TOTAL	154539

Uniform stamp duty

607. SHRI P. PRABHAKAR REDDY: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND POVERTY ALLEVIATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a Parliamentary Standing Committee has recommended that Government may reduce the rate of stamp duty with a view to encourage sale or transfer of property through registration;if so, the details thereof and Government's reaction thereto;